UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,703	09/08/2006	Vasilis Ntziachristos	MGH-048AUS	9134
	7590 10/07/200 LEY, MOFFORD & D	EXAMINER		
SUITE 301A	,	BAKER, DAVID S		
354A TURNPI CANTON, MA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2884		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@dc-m.com amk@dc-m.com

Office Action Communication		Application	lication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/598,703		NTZIACHRISTOS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
			DAVID S. BA	KER	2884			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 08 Ser	ntember 200	06				
·	•	2b)⊠ This a						
′=		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims		,,,,,,,	,				
· · _								
-	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		are withdrawi	n irom cons	ideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election req	uirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 Septemb</u>	<u>er 2006</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ acc	epted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Exai	miner.		
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 -	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (For Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 09/08/06,02/12/08.	PTO-948)	4 5 6	T =	ate			

Art Unit: 2884

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 13, 19-26, 28-30, 32, 38-39, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ntziachristos (WO 2002/041760 A2).

Regarding claims 1 and 21, Ntziachristos discloses a system and method for optical tomography comprising: generating an excitation light with an apparent light source adapted to project the excitation light toward a specimen (P:3 L:11-22) having fluorescent proteins therein (P:13 L:5-18); wherein the excitation light enters the specimen becoming intrinsic light within the specimen (P:18 L:15-31); wherein the intrinsic light is adapted to excite fluorescent light from the fluorescent proteins (P:18 L:15-31), and the wherein intrinsic light and the emitted light have wavelengths in the visible wavelength region (P:18 L:15-31).

Regarding claims 2 and 22, Ntziachristos discloses that the intrinsic and fluorescent light are diffuse (P:3 L:23 thru P:4 L:6).

Regarding claims 3 and 23, Ntziachristos discloses that the fluorescent emission light of the fluorochrome Cy 5.5 is 694nm (P:18 L:15-31).

Regarding claims 4 and 24, Ntziachristos discloses that the fluorescent emission light of the fluorochrome Cy 5.5 is 694nm (P:18 L:15-31).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,703

Regarding claims 5 and 25, Ntziachristos discloses that the fluorescent emission light of the fluorochrome ICG is 800nm (P:18 L:15-31).

Regarding claims 6 and 26, Ntziachristos discloses a light detector receiving the intrinsic light exiting the specimen and adapted for receiving the fluorescent light exiting the specimen (P:3 L:11-22); further adapted for converting the received intrinsic light into first image information (P:3 L:23 thru P:4 L:6); further adapted for converting the received fluorescent light into second image information (P:3 L:23 thru P:4 L:6); and an image processor coupled to the light detector and adapted for generating a visible light in a diffuse medium propagation model (P:15 L:15 thru P:16 L:25); wherein the model is adapted to predict visible light propagation in a diffuse medium; wherein the image processor further combines the first image information, the second image information, and the light propagation model (P:15 L:15 thru P:16 L:25); and further provides a tomographic image of the fluorescent proteins (P:15 L:15 thru P:16 L:25).

Regarding claims 7 and 28, Ntziachristos discloses that an image processor includes a diffusion equation processor wherein a model is generated in accordance with a diffusion equation having a modified coefficient selected in accordance with the visible light propagation model and associated with the intrinsic and the fluorescent light (P:15 L:15 thru P:16 L:25).

Regarding claim 9, Ntziachristos discloses an optical scanner to provide the intrinsic light and fluorescent light to the light detector on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen (F:2a, F:3a-3f; P:21 L:9-30).

Art Unit: 2884

Regarding claims 10 and 29, Ntziachristos discloses that the apparent light source is selectively moved by a light directing device to direct the excitation light on a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (P:18 L:22 thru P:19 L:19).

Regarding claims 11 and 30, Ntziachristos discloses an optical switch selectively moves the apparent light source to provide a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (P:18 L:22 thru P:19 L:19).

Regarding claims 13 and 32, Ntziachristos discloses that the apparent light source is selectively moved by a light directing device to direct the excitation light in translation along a translation axis (F:3a-3f; P:21 L:9-22).

Regarding claims 19 and 38, Ntziachristos discloses that the intrinsic light passes through the specimen as transillumination light (P:2 L:3-13, P:3 L:11-27).

Regarding claims 20 and 39, Ntziachristos discloses that the intrinsic light reflects from the specimen as reflectance light (P:21 L:9-22).

Regarding claims 44-45, Ntziachristos discloses that the intrinsic light or emitted light propagates through the specimen a distance greater than 0.5mm (P:1 L:20 thru P:2 L:13, P:3 L:11-22).

3. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takada (EP 0336208 A1).

Regarding claim 40, Takada discloses a fluorescent computed tomography system and method comprising: a selectively movable mirror that moves the apparent light source to provide a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (F:1; C:3 L50 thru C:4 L:5).

Art Unit: 2884

Regarding claim 41, Takada discloses a selectively movable mirror that moves the apparent light source to provide a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (F:1; C:3 L50 thru C:4 L:5).

Regarding claim 42, Takada discloses a selectively movable stage upon which the specimen is located and selectively moved that provides the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen (F:1; C:4 L:6-47).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 8, 12, 14-18, 27, 31, and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ntziachristos (WO 2002/041760 A2) in view of Takada (EP 0336208 A1).

Regarding claims 8 and 27, Ntziachristos discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose expressly selectively movable detector. Ntziachristos discloses that the

Art Unit: 2884

apparent light source is selectively moved by a light directing device to move the excitation light on a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (P:18 L:22 thru P:19 L:19). Takada discloses a fluorescent computed tomography system and method comprising: a selectively movable stage upon which the specimen is located and selectively moved that provides the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen (F:1; C:4 L:6-47). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a movable stage as taught by Takada in combination with the selectively movable apparent light source of Ntziachristos. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the physical range over which the specimen may be examined. The movement between the light source and the specimen versus that of the detector is a matter of apparent motion in different frames of reference. It would be a simple matter of design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a selectively movable light source, specimen, detector, or any combination thereof.

Regarding claims 12 and 31, Ntziachristos discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose expressly a selectively movable mirror. Takada discloses a fluorescent computed tomography system and method comprising: a selectively movable mirror that moves the apparent light source to provide a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (F:1; C:3 L50 thru C:4 L:5). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the movable mirror of Takada as a light diverting means in the apparatus of Ntziachristos. The motivation for doing so

would have been to decrease the cost of the light diversion set up since by using a simple mirror rather than an optical switch with several optical fibers.

Regarding claims 14 and 33, Ntziachristos discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose expressly that the specimen is selectively movable to provide the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen. Takada discloses a fluorescent computed tomography system and method comprising: a selectively movable stage upon which the specimen is located and selectively moved that provides the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen (F:1; C:4 L:6-47). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a movable stage as taught by Takada as the specimen holder of Ntziachristos. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the physical range over which the specimen may be examined.

Regarding claims 15 and 34, Ntziachristos and Takada disclose the claimed invention but do not disclose expressly that the specimen is selectively movable in a rotation about a specimen rotation axis. However, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to alter the specimen stage of Ntziachristos and Takada to allow for rotation. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the physical range over which the specimen may be examined.

Regarding claims 16 and 35, Takada disclose that the specimen is selectively movable in translation along at least one specimen translation axis (F:1; C:4 L:6-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,703

Art Unit: 2884

Regarding claims 17 and 36, Takada disclose that the specimen is selectively movable in translation along at least one specimen translation axis (F:1; C:4 L:6-47), but Ntziachristos and Takada do not disclose expressly that the specimen is selectively movable in a rotation about a specimen rotation axis. However, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to alter the specimen stage of Ntziachristos and Takada to allow for rotation. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the physical range over which the specimen may be examined.

Page 8

Regarding claims 18 and 37, Ntziachristos discloses that the apparent light source is selectively moved by a light directing device to move the excitation light on a plurality of light paths toward the specimen (P:18 L:22 thru P:19 L:19). Ntziachristos does not disclose expressly that the specimen is selectively movable to provide the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen. Takada discloses a fluorescent computed tomography system and method comprising: a selectively movable stage upon which the specimen is located and selectively moved that provides the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen (F:1; C:4 L:6-47). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a movable stage as taught by Takada as the specimen holder of Ntziachristos. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the physical range over which the specimen may be examined.

7. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takada (EP 0336208 A1) in view of Ntziachristos (WO 2002/041760 A2).

Art Unit: 2884

Regarding claim 43, Takada discloses a selectively movable stage upon which the specimen is located and selectively moved that provides the excitation light on a plurality of light paths relative to the specimen (F:1; C:4 L:6-47). Takada does not disclose expressly an optical fiber coupled to the selectively movable structure. Ntziachristos discloses a system and method for optical tomography comprising: optical fibers coupled to the specimen stage for receiving emitted light (F:2a, F:3a-3f; P:21 L:23-30). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the optical fibers of Ntziachristos to couple the specimen platform to the detector of Takada. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the light collection efficiency.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 7,242,997 B2 – Geng discloses a diffuse optical CT system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID S. BAKER whose telephone number is (571)272-6003. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWRF 10:30am-7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2884

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David S Baker/ Examiner, Art Unit 2884

/CHRISTINE SUNG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2884